ſ	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	AUSTIN DIVISION
3	UMG RECORDINGS, INC., ET AL, :
4	Plaintiffs, : Case Number:
5	vs. : 1:17-CV-00365-DAE :
6	GRANDE COMMUNICATIONS : Austin, Texas NETWORKS, LLC, ET AL, : November 3, 2022
7	Defendants. ************************************
8	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY VERDICT
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID A. EZRA SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	APPEARANCES:
11	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
12	Andrew H. Bart, Esquire Jacob Tracer, Esquire
13	Jenner & Block, LLP 1155 Avenue of the Americas
14	New York, NY 10036 (212)891-1600; abart@jenner.com
15	Robert B. Gilmore, Esquire
16	Philip J. O'Beirne, Esquire Stein Mitchell Cipollone Beato & Missner LLP
17	1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036
18	(202)601-1589; rgilmore@steinmitchell.com
19	Paige Arnette Amstutz, Esquire Scott, Douglass & McConnico, LLP
20	303 Colorado Street, Suite 2400 Austin, Texas 78701
21	(512)495-6300; pamstutz@scottdoug.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
 1
 2
     Richard L. Brophy, Esquire
 3
     Zachary C. Howenstine, Esquire
 4
    Mark A. Thomas, Esquire
 5
     Margaret R. Szewczyk, Esquire
     Armstrong Teasdale, LLP
     7700 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 1800
 6
     St. Louis, Missouri 63105
 7
     (314) 621-5070
     rbrophy@armstrongteasdale.com
 8
     zhowenstine@armstrongteasdale.com
     mathomas@atllp.com
 9
     mszewczyk@armstrongteasdale.com
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
     COURT REPORTER:
     Angela M. Hailey, CSR, CRR, RPR, RMR
21
     Official Court Reporter, U.S.D.C.
     262 West Nueva Street
22
     San Antonio, Texas
                        78207
     Phone (210) 244-5048
23
     angela hailey@txwd.uscourts.gov
24
     Proceedings reported by stenotype, transcript produced by
     computer-aided transcription.
25
```

```
(Thursday, November 3, 2022, 11:23 a.m., jury question.)
1
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Austin, 17-CV-365, UMG Recordings, Inc. et al versus Grande Communication Networks, LLC.

THE COURT: All right. The Court would note the presence of counsel, the absence of the jury. We received a second question, number two. In response to that second question, I have prepared a template, the lawyers have reviewed I have accepted a few changes and now both counsel -counsel for both parties have agreed I will read this into the record. It will also be filed as part of the record.

The response is as follows: Members of the jury, during closing argument, plaintiffs' counsel suggested an award of statutory damages that plaintiffs felt was appropriate under the facts of this case. Defense counsel disagrees and suggested that no damages should be awarded. Should you decide to award damages in this case, it is important to remember that what any lawyer suggests to you is not evidence. The evidence is the testimony of witnesses, documents as well as other materials received into evidence. I refer you to instruction 19(a) which lays out the law relative to damages to be awarded, if any. This instruction should be reviewed in light of all other instructions provided to you. Dated Austin Texas, November 3, 2022, David Alan Ezra, Senior U.S. District Judge.

```
Okay?
 1
 2
              MR. BART:
                         Yes.
 3
              MR. BROPHY: No objection.
 4
              THE COURT: It's only 19(a).
 5
              MR. BART: It's 19(a) and (b).
 6
              THE COURT: I guess it is 19(a) and (b). Do we have
 7
     the instructions here? Gentlemen, you want to step up, I'll
 8
     show you (b), ladies. I don't know who's coming up. I should
 9
     say "counsel." Yes, (b), it's right, it should be (a) and (b).
10
              MR. BROPHY: My only point is that 19(a) includes the
11
     full articulation of the range including willfulness; 19(b)
12
     just provides the definition of what the willfulness is, so
13
     it's already in 19(a), seems like 19(b) is duplicative.
14
              MR. HOWENSTINE: 19(a) is responsive to the question.
15
              THE COURT: Just a minute.
16
              MR. BART: In order for them to understand the context
17
     of this, they need to understand what that definition is.
18
              THE COURT: I don't think it's prejudicial to the
19
     defendant, so I'll put 19(a) and (b).
20
              MR. BROPHY: We would object to that part, just for
21
     the record.
22.
              THE COURT: All right. And then I don't need to
23
     reread it to you, that's the only change.
24
              MR. BART:
                         Thank you.
25
              THE COURT: Let me put it this way, "...the law
```

```
relative to damages and the definition of willfulness, if any."
 1
 2
     Willfulness, if any. So it's not conflating damages with a
 3
     definition. Let me read that last paragraph.
              "I refer you to instructions 19(a) and (b) which lays
 4
 5
     out the law relative to damages, if any, and the definition of
 6
     willfulness.
                   These instructions should be reviewed in light of
 7
     all the other instructions provided to you."
 8
              MR. BART: Okay.
 9
              MR. BROPHY: Our perspective is there's no need to
10
     specifically call out willfulness. We object to that part.
11
              THE COURT: I understand, but I think with this
12
     clarification there is absolutely no prejudice, so I'm going to
13
     give it that way. All right?
14
              MR. BART: Thank you.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
16
     good lunch. We'll let you know when we hear from them again.
17
     That will be delivered to them right away.
18
              (11:29 a.m.)
19
20
              (1:33 p.m., Verdict.)
21
              COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
22
              THE COURT: Please be seated.
23
              COURT CLERK: Austin, 17-CV-365, UMG Recordings, Inc.
24
     et al versus Grande Communications, LLC.
25
                          The Court would note the presence of
              THE COURT:
```

_	
1	counsel and the absence of the jury. Before we bring the jury
2	in, I'm sure we put this on the record, but just in case we
3	didn't, everybody agreed to the Verdict Form, correct?
4	MR. BART: Yes.
5	MR. BROPHY: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the jury in for
7	the verdict.
8	COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Please rise for the jury.
9	(1:43 p.m., the jury enters the courtroom.)
10	* * *
11	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. Good
12	afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I have been advised by the
13	court security officer that you have reached a unanimous
14	verdict. Is that true?
15	FOREPERSON: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And as your foreperson who is your
17	foreperson? You are, sir. All right. Thank you. Have you
18	answered all the questions and signed the Verdict Form?
19	FOREPERSON: Yes.
20	THE COURT: All right. Priscilla, would you get the
21	Verdict Form from the foreperson please? My courtroom deputy
22	will be reading your verdict, so please pay careful attention
23	because then you're going to be polled afterward to make sure
24	that this is your verdict individually. Okay?
25	There is one question on here that is unanswered. Let

1	me see. They have to answer question four. Is that right?
2	(Discussion off the record.)
3	* * *
4	THE COURT: All right. Sorry.
5	COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Verdict form. We, the jury,
6	in the above-captioned action, answer the questions submitted
7	to us as follows:
8	1) Did the plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
9	evidence that Grande is contributorily liable for copyright
10	infringement?
11	Answer: Yes.
12	2) What is the number of copyrighted works for which
13	the plaintiffs have proven that Grande is liable for statutory
14	damages?
15	Answer: 1,403.
16	3) Did the plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
17	evidence that Grande's contributory infringement was willful?
18	Answer: Yes.
19	Number four was not answered, because question number
20	one was answered Yes and question number three was answered No.
21	THE COURT: No.
22	COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Yes to question one and No to
23	question three.
24	MR. BART: It's "Yes" to three.
25	THE COURT: It was "Yes" to three.

1	(Discussion off the record.)
2	* * *
3	THE COURT: Can't be willful and innocent at the same
4	time.
5	COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Question number five: What
6	is the total amount of statutory damages you award to
7	plaintiffs in this case?
8	Total statutory damages: \$46,766,200.
9	Signed foreperson, dated November 3, 2022.
10	THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, you've
11	heard the verdict of the jury read. I'm going to ask you, are
12	there any of you who disagree with the verdict as read? If so,
13	please raise your hand.
14	Having seen no hands, the Court finds that the verdict
15	was unanimous. Having found the verdict to be unanimous, the
16	Court hereby directs that the verdict is hereby filed as the
17	verdict of this jury in this case and spread upon the records
18	of the United States District Court for the Western District of
19	Texas, Austin Division.
20	Ladies and gentlemen, I want to, on behalf of the
21	parties, thank you very much for your dedicated and careful and
22	very, very important service to the parties and to the people
23	of this community and to your fellow United States citizens in
24	this case.
25	I've told you before and I will repeat it again, there

22.

JURY VERDICT

are few things in this country that are more important than having citizens step forward and serve on a jury. It is exactly and absolutely what separates this country from those totalitarian regimes that you see and read about and hear about in the newspapers. You only need to think about what's going on now in Iran or Russia to think about what this country could be like if this important piece of our democracy didn't function. And without having people like yourselves, American citizens, step forth and serve as jurors, the fact of the matter is the sacrifices by the men and women of this country throughout our history who have died and/or suffered debilitating injuries for their lives in service of freedom and democracy would be for naught.

I think I may have told you, if not, I will tell you now. When I was sworn in as a federal judge, my father who was a wounded combat veteran, highly decorated of World War II, said to me, "David, when you take that bench, every time you walk up there, you look at that American flag and you remember that if it wasn't for the sacrifices of the men and women of this country, my friends, who are buried at Normandy, if it wasn't for that sacrifice, that great eagle on the wall would have been a German swastika and that would have been the end of this country."

So ladies and gentlemen, your service was very important and you did honor to all of us, including those who

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20

22.

21

23 24

25

gave so much for freedom by making yourselves available and willing to make the sacrifice that you made.

Now, with the thanks of the parties, I'm going to ask that you just wait a minute, I'm going to just chat with you briefly. Not so much about the case. I don't talk about the case, but about just your service, make sure you were treated well and there aren't any issues and then I'm going to let you Okay? Thank you. go.

> COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Please rise for the jury. (1:52 p.m., the jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Counsel can be seated. We will have post-trial motions as well as an appeal in this case. And so to say that this is over and done with would be hyperbole and fantasy, I think, but suffice it to say that I do for my part want to thank again counsel for your many courtesies throughout this trial. I thought it was a very fair trial on both sides. I've said this before and, you know, people say, Well, you know, Judge, you don't really thank lawyers enough. Lawyers are supposed to do their jobs. But when I see extraordinary lawyering, which isn't that often, I don't mean to be blowing smoke at people. I sincerely mean it. There was extraordinarily good lawyering in this case from all sides, all sides. And you know, when I was a very young lawyer, one of my mentors was the great Watergate prosecutor, Leon Jaworski, who

1	taught at my law school as an adjunct. And he had a lot of
2	things to say, but one of the things he told me is A great
3	lawyer when faced with difficult facts, works with the facts, a
4	terrible lawyer tries to change them.
5	We didn't have any of that here. We had everybody
6	working with the facts and doing the best they can and the
7	facts are the facts. And what can you do? But I thought it
8	was well tried by both sides. My rulings, of course, will be
9	tested obviously and that's just the way it's supposed to be.
10	But I would like to again thank you. I will remain on the
11	case, of course, for any post trial motions that are filed.
12	And please remember your deadlines. Fifth Circuit is very
13	tough on deadlines. You come from different circuits, Second
14	Circuit and Seventh Circuit?
15	MR. BROPHY: Eighth Circuit.
16	THE COURT: I never hear much about the Eighth
17	Circuit.
18	MR. BROPHY: We're a sleepy bunch.
19	THE COURT: I knew a lot about the Seventh Circuit
20	because I sat in Chicago. Thank you very much. You are
21	excused.
22	COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
23	(1:54 p.m.)
24	* * *

25

1	* * * *
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
4	
5	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
6	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I
7	further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with
8	those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of
9	the United States.
10	
11	Date signed: November 28, 2022
12	
13	/s/ Angela M. Hailey
14	Angela M. Hailey, CSR, CRR, RPR, RMR
15	Official Court Reporter 262 West Nueva Street
16	San Antonio, Texas 78207 (210)244-5048
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	